

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

that would be at the end of the out biennium.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So we would have to do quite a bit of cutting to close that gap if we were going to do it by way of cutting, would you agree?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And we would have to cut large items, otherwise we wouldn't achieve anything of consequence by nibbling at locations where relatively small amounts of money are found, would you agree?

SENATOR RAIKES: I would agree. For example, the prize money at the State Fair would not be a major difference.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Exactly, so that would mean the university system, that would be one of the areas.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you agree?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes, it would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would aid to public education be one area?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, with that big a number, it would have to be, I would think.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What about aid to municipalities and counties?

SENATOR RAIKES: Again, I think that's...that would all have to be part of the solution.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We are not mandated by the constitution, are we, to give any state money to cities and counties, are we?

SENATOR RAIKES: I think we're not, yes.